

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Writ Petition No. 24357 of 2016
Date of Decision: 28.11.2016**

Parveen Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

The Chairman Permanent Lok Adalat (Public Utility Services), Karnal and
others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present : Mr. Sham Lal Bhalla, Advocate and
Mr. Santosh Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK J. (ORAL)

Petitioner, by way of present writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeks a writ in the nature of Certiorari for modification of the impugned award dated 5.10.2015 (Annexure P-2) passed by the Permanent Lok Adalat (Public Utility Services), Karnal-respondent No.1.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

During the course of hearing, when asked to point out any appropriate averment taken in the original application (Annexure P-1) or any claim put on account of alleged parking charges, learned counsel for the petitioner miserably failed to point out anything in this regard.

Civil Writ Petition No. 24357 of 2016

2

A bare perusal of the application (Annexure P-1) moved by the petitioner would show that no such claim was ever put. Whatever was the claim put, that was duly considered by the learned Permanent Lok Adalat and accordingly, the impugned award (Annexure P-2) was passed. Learned counsel for the petitioner failed to point out any patent illegality or perversity in the impugned award, which may warrant interference at the hands of this Court, for the purpose of modification in the impugned award (Annexure P-2).

No other argument was raised.

Considering the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case noted above, coupled with the reasons aforementioned, this Court is of the considered view that instant writ petition is wholly misconceived, bereft of merit and without any substance, thus, it must fail. No case for interference has been made out.

Resultantly, with the abovesaid observations made, present writ petition stands dismissed, however, with no order as to costs.

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

28.11.2016
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No