

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision: 17.02.2016

CWP No.25985 of 2014

Surjit Kaur

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. G.S.Gandhi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Vaibhav Sharma, DAG, Punjab, for the respondents.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)

On 18.12.2014, this Court passed the following order:

“The petitioner is a Class-IV employee working as a part-time Janitor in a Primary School in District Sangrur. She is a Majbi Sikh. She has been working since 1981 and despite directions of this Court in 2004 the petitioner's case for regularization has not been considered whereas juniors have been regularized after filing writ petitions, receiving directions and then invoking contempt proceedings before this Court. The petitioner contends that respondent No.3 has forwarded the case to respondent No.2 for regularization vide Annexure P-5 and despite that a legal notice served recently in October 2014 no action has been taken by the Divisional Education Officer, Patiala Division, Nabha.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Rajiv Prashad, Addl. AG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 and waives service on them.

Mr. Gandhi undertakes to supply four sets of the paper book to Mr. Prashad during the course of the day.

Notice to respondent Nos.4 and 5 is kept in abeyance for the time being as they are the persons claimed to be junior to the petitioner.

The competent authority would take a final decision in the matter and place the same on the record of this case in advance of the date fixed.

List on 10.02.2015.

Order dasti attested by the Bench Secretary.”

Mr. Vaibhav Sharma, learned DAG, Punjab appearing for the State, prays for two weeks' time to conclude steps taken in compliance of the said above order by enlarging time to accommodate the request.

In view of the statement made, there is hardly any necessity or expediency to keep this matter in motion hearing and therefore this petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to take a final decision within three weeks by extending the time set in the interim order.

**[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]
JUDGE**

17.02.2016
Vimal