

Sr. No.222

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 25912 of 2014

Date of decision:31.08.2016

Bharat Sanchar Nigam Ltd. and others

.....Petitioners

versus

Permanent Lok Adalat and another

.....Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Rakesh Kumar Jain

Present: Mr. Anil Rathee, Advocate for
the petitioners.

Mr. Abhishekh Singh, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

Rakesh Kumar Jain, J.(Oral)

Counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioner has vacated the premises of respondent No. 2 and thus, this petition has become infructuous.

However, it is submitted that in this petition, the petitioners have also challenged the jurisdiction of Permanent Lok Adalat(PUS) to decide such like issue which may be kept open as the same has not been argued in this case.

Request made by counsel for the petitioners is accepted and the issue regarding the jurisdiction of Permanent Lok Adalat(PUS) is kept open in such like matters otherwise the present petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

**[Rakesh Kumar Jain]
Judge**

31st August, 2016

Shivani Kaushik

Whether Speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No