

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 25911 of 2014

Date of Decision: 01.02.2016

Munshi Ram and ors.

---Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and ors.

-----Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU**

--

Present: Mr. Munish Kumar Garg, Advocate
Mr. Rajiv Saini, Advocate and
Mr. Ankur Tyagi, Advocate
for the petitioners.
Mr. Lokesh Sinhal, Addl. A.G., Haryana

SATISH KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners, on instructions, states that in view of the averments made in para no.7 of the affidavit dated 20.11.2015 filed (in CWP No.18567 of 2012) by Mr. R.K. Singh, Additional Director, Urban Estates Department, Haryana, the petitioners do not want to press this petition at this stage with liberty to approach again in case the needful is not done in accordance with para no.7 of the said affidavit.

Dismissed as not pressed with the aforesaid liberty.

In case the petitioners accept compensation in terms of the award, they will be at liberty to take their remedy for enhancement of the compensation, in accordance with law.

**(SATISH KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

01.02.2016
dinesh

**(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE**