

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.24254 of 2016
Date of decision: 23.11.2016

Gurbaksh Lal Nagpal and another

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Aditya Jain, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of respondent authorities, petitioners have approached this Court, by way of present writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that when the genuine grievance of the petitioners was not being redressed, they finally approached the respondent authorities by way of self-contained representation dated 16.12.2015 (Annexure P-7) but the same is also pending decision. He further submits that petitioners will be satisfied in case respondent No.2 is directed to consider and decide the representation of the petitioners within a reasonable time by passing an appropriate order thereon.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and without expressing any opinion on merits of the case, Deputy Commissioner, Kurukshetra-respondent No.2 is directed to look into the matter, consider the

grievance raised by the petitioners vide representation dated 16.12.2015 (Annexure P-7) and decide the same at an early date by passing an appropriate order thereon, strictly in accordance with law but in any case within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

With the abovesaid observations made and directions issued, instant writ petition stands disposed of.

23.11.2016
vishnu

[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No