

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Writ Petition No.24214 of 2016

Date of Decision: 23.11.2016

Ranjit Kaur and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: Mr. Vishal Mittal, Advocate for the petitioners.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Sixteen petitioners, namely, Ranjit Kaur, Jaipal Uppal, Iqbal Singh, Narinder Pal, Vikramjit Kaushal, Satwinder Kaur, Sukhpal Kaur, Parminder Kaur, Rajveer Kaur, Jagsir Singh, Karamjeet Kaur, Rattan Lal, Reenu Bala, Naveen Mittal, Birinderjit Singh and Meenu Bala had undertaken the Punjab State Teachers Eligibility Test (PSTET-II) conducted on 03.07.2011 for the purpose of seeking employment with the Education Department. In the event, a candidate passes the test, the result stands good for seven years i.e. till July 2018.

The claim of the petitioners is that they had attempted four/three/two questions, respectively, whose answer keys were subsequently found incorrect by this Court, as also by the Committee, vide its report/justification dated 12.10.2015 (**Annexure P-4**). Therefore, petitioner Nos.1 to 11 are entitled to grant of 04 additional marks; petitioner Nos.12 to 15 are entitled to grant of 03 additional marks & petitioner No.16 is entitled to grant of 02 additional marks. They also claim that their

PSTET-II result may be revised accordingly.

Learned Counsel for the petitioners submits that the issue raised in the present petition stands decided in a bunch petition, decided on 18.05.2016 by the Coordinate Bench, in case titled “**Ekta Sharma and others versus State of Punjab and others**”. Thus, relief is claimed in the light of aforesaid decision, which is at **Annexure P-5**.

On the asking of Court, Mz. Sudeepti Sharma, Deputy Advocate General Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has furnished the copy of writ petition to the Counsel opposite.

Learned State Counsel very fairly concedes that the present writ petition is liable to be disposed of in view of the above decision dated 18.05.2016 (**P-5**).

In view of the aforesaid stand, the present petition is allowed in the same terms as passed in the aforesaid judgment dated 18.05.2016 (**P-5**).

November 23, 2016

Gagan

(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No