

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.28393 of 2013
Date of decision: 22.04.2016

Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd.

....Petitioner

Versus

Ombudsman, Electricity, Punjab and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH DHALIWAL

- 1) Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
- 2) To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
- 3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: - Ms. Avin Sandhu, Advocate, for
Mr. Amit Aggarwal, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Kuldip Sanwal, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

PARAMJEET SINGH DHALIWAL, J.

Instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for issuance of a direction in the nature of *certiorari* for quashing the order dated 10.01.2013 (Annexure P-1) passed by respondent No.1 whereby the time period for recovery of electricity charges has been reduced from seven and a half years to five years.

In view of the decision rendered by this Court today in CWP No.8932 of 2013 titled 'M/s Surinder Kumar v. Ombudsman, Electricity, Punjab and others', present petition is dismissed.

(Paramjeet Singh Dhaliwal)
Judge

April 22, 2016
R.S.