

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.2420 of 2016
Decided on : 28.03.2016**

Navneet Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

(2)

CWP No.3589 of 2016

Simerdeep Singh Sran

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

(3)

CWP No.3883 of 2016

Munish Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA

Present : Mr. H.C. Arora, Advocate for the petitioner (s)
in CWP Nos.2420 and 3589 of 2016.

None for the petitioner in CWP No.3883 of 2016.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. J.S. Puri, Advocate
for respondent-PSEB.

G.S. Sandhawalia, J. (Oral)

The present order shall dispose of **CWP Nos.2420, 3589 and 3883 of 2016**. The facts are being taken from **CWP No.2420 of 2016 titled as 'Navneet Kaur Vs. State of Punjab and others'**.

The petitioners seek a review of the result of PSTET-1 held on 13.12.2015, on the ground that questions No.128, 130, 131, 135, 137 and 147 did not pertain to syllabus of Environmental Science.

Counsel for the respondent has referred to Annexure R-3/1 to submit that the Environmental Studies had to have 30 questions and the syllabus would show that the questions were to be based on various issues regarding the State of Punjab, solar system, basic needs, habitats types and part of body (external and internal) etc.

Counsel for the petitioner has, accordingly, referred out Questions No.135 and 137 to submit that they were out of the said syllabus. The said questions read as under:-

"135. Total number of districts in Punjab is:-

- (A) 25*
- (B) 22*
- (C) 23*
- (D) 21*

137. Rahul does not submit EVS assignment in time. Then you will

- (A) inform the parents*
- (B) not allow him to enter the class*
- (C) try to know the reason*

(D) ignore him

147. A group of similar cells performing the same function is called:

A) System

(B) Organ

(C) Tissue

(D) Cell"

Perusal of Annexure R-3/1 would go on to show that the knowledge of the State of Punjab was to be part of the syllabus and even problems regarding Pedagogical (theory of teaching) issues, which would cover Question No. 135 and 137. Similarly, Question No.147 would be covered under the issue parts of body. The said syllabus would, thus, cover the above two questions.

The petitioners are to teach Clause 1 to 5 and the questions which have been referred to would show that they are directly connected as per the syllabus provided. Such questions would commonly occur in any test, which is to be given on the basis of general knowledge also. The petitioners are equally placed with other similarly situated candidates regarding the toughness of the test and, therefore, cannot turn around and say that they are entitled for any grace marks. Even, otherwise jurisdiction of this Court is limited regarding the said issue as only patent defect in the answer key would be an issue this Court might examine.

Accordingly, this Court is of the opinion that it is not a fit

case to exercise the powers under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

In view of above, the present writ petitions are dismissed.

MARCH 28, 2016
Naveen

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE