

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

125

**Civil Writ Petition No.24170 of 2016
Date of decision: November 23, 2016**

Vijay Singh Yadav

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN***

Present: Mr. Ajay Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

1. The challenge in this petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is to the orders dated 18.04.2005, 01.04.2008, 07.07.2011 (**Annexures P-17, P-28 & P-35** respectively) passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh (in short 'CAT') along with letters dated 30.03.2007, 21.06.2007, 10.03.2008, 01.02.2011 (**Annexures P-22, P-24, P-27 & P-31** respectively) issued by the Financial Commissioner and Principal Secretary to Government of Haryana, Social Justice and Empowerment Department, Chandigarh and the same are contrary to the judgment dated 10.11.2006 (Annexure P-21) passed by the Apex Court in **Civil Appeal No.7999 of 2002**, titled as 'District Rehabilitation Officer and other vs. Jay Kishore Maity and others' **2006 (12) SCC 380** and thereafter to extend all the consequential benefits arising thereof to the petitioner along with interest @ 18% p.a. Further prayer has also been made to direct the respondents to disburse the pensionary

benefits as well as the arrears along with interest @ 18% p.a. in view of the judgment of this Court in **CWP No.2371 of 2010** titled as 'Harbans Lal vs. State of Punjab and others' decided on 31.08.2010 (**Annexure P-40**) against which SLP filed by the State was dismissed on 30.07.2012 (**Annexure P-41**) as well as the **Review Petition (C) No.2038 of 2013 in SLP (C) 23578 of 2012** titled as 'State of Punjab and others vs. Harbans Lal' was dismissed on 04.11.2015 (**Annexure P-42**).

2. After arguing for sometime, when the Bench was not agreeing with the learned counsel for the petitioner, it was prayed that he may be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn as prayed.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

November 23, 2016
sonia gugnani

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No