

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.24089 of 2016
Date of decision: 22.11.2016**

Bachni Devi and another

... Petitioners

Vs.

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. H.S. Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of respondent authorities, petitioners have approached this Court, by way of present writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that when the genuine grievance of the petitioners was not being redressed, they finally approached the respondent authorities by way of legal notice dated 11.04.2016 (Annexure P-5) but the same is also pending decision. He further submits that petitioners will be satisfied in case respondent No.1 is directed to consider and decide the legal notice of the petitioners within a reasonable time by passing an appropriate order thereon.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and without expressing any opinion on merits of the case, Chairman, Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam-respondent No.1 is directed to look into the matter, consider the

grievance raised by the petitioner vide their legal notice dated 11.04.2016 (Annexure P-5) and decide the same, keeping in view the law laid down by this Court in **Raman Vs. State of Haryana and others, 2013 (4) RCR (Civil) 425**, at an early date by passing an appropriate order thereon, strictly in accordance with law but in any case within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

With the abovesaid observations made and directions issued, instant writ petition stands disposed of.

22.11.2016
vishnu

[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No