

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-28128-2013 (O&M)

Date of Decision: July 14, 2016

Satya Narain Gupta

.....Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DARSHAN SINGH**

Present: Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Ms. Palika Monga, Addl. A.G. Haryana.
Mr. Sumit Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Deepak Manchanda, Advocate for respondent No.3.

.....

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

.....

SURYA KANT, J.

Petitioner owned 19 kanal of land in village Bajghera, District Gurgaon, out of which 14 kanal 14 marla is said to have been acquired for construction of sector road. For the remaining land measuring 4 kanal 6 marla, the petitioner applied for grant of CLU so that he could utilize the land for a permissible purpose. But his request has been turned down by raising several objections.

The aggrieved petitioner has filed this writ petition. For the petitioner, a strange situation has cropped up where neither the respondents are ready to acquire the left out land of the petitioner nor are they permitting him to utilize it for a permissible purpose in accordance with the zoning plan. As of now, we do not want to comment upon the *bona fides* or on the propriety of the order dated April 12, 2012, and suffice it would be to set aside the same

with a direction to the respondents to revisit the whole issue and take an appropriate decision in accordance with law so that the petitioner's land is either utilised for some 'public purpose' or for other lawful permissible purpose in accordance with the zoning plan/Development Plan of the area.

Let the appropriate decision be taken within six months.

**(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE**

July 14, 2016
meenu

**(DARSHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**