

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.2488 of 2015

Date of decision: 11.03.2016

Ghansham Dass

...Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

RITU BAHRI, J.

The petitioner is seeking a writ of certiorari for quashing the letter/order dated 10.03.2011 (Annexure P-3), whereby his claim of LTC Scheme has been rejected.

The petitioner had retired on 31.01.2009. In the written statement, stand of the respondents is that the Lave Travel Concession Policy dated 05.02.2009 (Annexure P-1) is applicable only to serving State Government employees and since the petitioner had retired on 31.01.2009, he cannot get any benefit under this policy.

A perusal of Policy (Annexure P-1) further shows that this benefit is extended only to the serving Government employees of the department. Therefore, the petitioner is not entitled to get benefit under this policy.

No merits.

Dismissed.

**(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE**

11.03.2016

ajp