

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-23835-2016

Date of decision: 18.11.2016

Sushil Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN**

PRESENT: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner has approached this Court under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India *inter alia* seeking quashing of the impugned order dated 04.10.2016 (Annexure P-14) whereby the representation submitted by the petitioner was declined along with communication dated 21.07.2016 (Annexure P-11) vide which the earlier contract between the petitioner and the official respondents was discarded without decision thereof.

2. It was not disputed that certain questions of fact are involved in this case which are required to be established by leading cogent and convincing evidence. Accordingly, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that he may be allowed to withdraw the instant petition, with

liberty to the petitioner to approach the Civil Court for the relief claimed in the present writ petition.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn. It shall, however, be open to the petitioner to take recourse to the remedies, as may be available to him in accordance with law.

**(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

November 18, 2016
rishu

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No