

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 24781 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 8.9.2016

Manish Bakshi

...Petitioner

Versus

Additional District Magistrate and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: Mr. Salil Bali, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravi Kumar, Advocate,
for respondent No. 2.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (Oral)

In this petition, the petitioner has prayed for mercy, allowing him to retain the house in question so as to enable him to make alternative arrangement as the house in which he is putting up has been put to auction. On 1st December, 2015, dispossession of the petitioner from the house in question was stayed. Thereafter, the case was adjourned from time to time and the interim order continued.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 has submitted that the petitioner has already been protected by this Court for nine months from the date of passing of the stay order on 1st December, 2015, but there is no legal protection in favour of the petitioner.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view the circumstances, specially that the notice was issued only on mercy

plea so that the petitioner may make alternative arrangement for accommodation, which the petitioner should have made by now, as period of nine month has expired, I do not find it to be a fit case for interference and hence the present petition is dismissed.

stomach
8.9.2016
prem

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No