

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

Gurpreet Kaur
2016.11.17 17:47
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

CWP No.23798 of 2016

Date of Decision: 17.11.2016

Sukhdev Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. R.K. Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is due to retire on 30.11.2016 and at the verge of his retirement, he has been placed under suspension vide impugned order dated 22.10.2016 (Annexure P-8). The petitioner has also filed the statutory appeal which is still pending. Learned counsel also submits that the charge sheet has been issued to the petitioner at the end of his retirement, whereas, his application for extension of service is also pending. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner will be deprived of his right of extension.

Since the appeal against the order of suspension is still pending and the petitioner has challenged the order of suspension before this Court, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to decide the statutory appeal within a period of ten days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. The competent authority is also directed to take decision by considering the reply dated 11.11.2016 (Annexure P-10) of the charge sheet before the date of retirement of the petitioner.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

17.11.2016
gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No