

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.25425 of 2014
Date of decision: 29.08.2016**

Reetu Bala

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. S.K. Rattan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anshul Gupta, AAG, Punjab
for the respondents -State.

Daya Chaudhary, J. (Oral)

The prayer in the present writ petition is for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing of impugned list dated 12.12.2013 (Annexure P-7) qua the petitioner as her claim has been rejected on the ground that she has passed only CTET and not TET.

Learned State counsel submits that the controversy in the case at hand is squarely covered by the decision rendered by this Court in ***Antim Kumari and others vs. State of Haryana and others, 2015 LawSuit (P&H) 2361***. The same issue was there in **CWP No.18565 of 2012** titled as **Ashok Kumar and others vs. State of Punjab and others**, which was dismissed vide order dated **16.03.2016**. Thereafter, **LPA No.591 of 2016** titled as **Ashok Kumar and others vs. State of Punjab and others**, was also dismissed on **12.07.2016**.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the posts are still lying vacant and as per Right of Children to Free and Compulsory

Education Act, 2009, the name of the petitioner can be considered by relaxing the minimum qualification.

In view of the submissions made by learned State counsel, the case of the petitioner is squarely covered by the decision rendered in **Antim Kumari's case (supra)** as well as LPA No.591 of 2016.

Dismissed.

However, in case, the petitioner moves any representation to the respondents-State, the same be decided by considering the provisions of the Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education Act, 2009.

29.08.2016
neetu

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No