

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

110

**CWP-23768-2016
DATE OF DECISION:18.11.2016**

GORDHAN

... Petitioner

V.

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. J.S. Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has challenged the tentative seniority list (Annexure P/1) whereby respondent No.3 has been shown senior to him as well as the order promoting respondent No.3 (Annexure P/2) as Deputy Superintendent.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that the respondents have not considered the objections raised by the petitioner to the tentative seniority list before promoting the private respondent. Learned counsel for the petitioner has further contended that the private respondent belongs to another cadre and hence could not have been promoted ahead of the petitioner. He also contends that his objections to the tentative seniority list have not been considered by the respondents. He has also drawn my attention to the legal notice dated 09.08.2016 (Annexure P/10) sent to the respondents in this regard, which has failed to evoke any response and states that he shall be satisfied, if the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to consider and decide his legal notice in a time bound manner.

Without making any observation on the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to dispose of this petition with a direction to the respondent No.2 to consider and decide the legal notice dated 09.08.2016 (Annexure P/10), in accordance with law, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

November 18, 2016

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)

SwarnjitS

JUDGE

Note:

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No