

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

* * * * *

CWP No. 27926 of 2013

Date of decision : May 10, 2016

* * * * *

Murari Lal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

* * * * *

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

* * * * *

Present: Mr. Yash Paul Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Addl.A.G, Haryana.

* * * * *

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?*

RITU BAHRI, J

The petitioner is seeking directions to the respondents to grant ante dated regularization as per the Instructions dated 22.8.1984 (Annexure P-1).

The petitioner was appointed on 1.08.1990 on ad hoc basis as Science Master. His services were regularized on 15.3.1983 and thereafter he was promoted as School Lecturer, Economics in May 1995. The petitioner is claiming that he should be regularized w.e.f 15.9.1982 as per the Govt. Instructions dated

22.8.1984 whereby the services of ad hoc teachers were to be regularized after completing two years of service. When Instructions dated 22.8.1984 were issued, the petitioner's services had already been regularized as Science Master w.e.f 15.3.1983.

The stand taken by the respondents no. 1 & 2 in the written statement was that the services of the petitioner already stood regularized w.e.f 15.3.1983. The petitioner had not completed two years of continuous satisfactory service on 15.9.1982 as per regularization policy dated 22.8.1984 as there was break in his service for summer vacation between 1.8.1980 to 15.9.1982. In this period the petitioner had two breaks of summer vacation. Resultantly, he did not fulfill the requisite terms and conditions of the regularization policy dated 22.8.1984.

The petition is hopelessly time barred as the service of the petitioner was regularized w.e.f 15.3.1983, whereas the petitioner has been claiming regularization of his services w.e.f 15.9.1982 after a period of more than 30 years. Moreover the regularization policies have already been rescinded by the Govt. of Haryana vide Notification dated 13.4.2007.

In view of all that has been discussed above, present writ petition is dismissed being hopelessly time barred.

May 10, 2016
ritu

(**RITU BAHRI**)
JUDGE