

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 2372 of 2016 (O/M)
Date of decision : 7.9.2016

Sukhdev Singh

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Ms. Charu Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. R.S. Pathania, Deputy A.G. Punjab.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

:-

:-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that the payment has been released. However, the short question surviving for consideration before this Court is that the balance payment has been made with the delay of about 1 year and 2 ½ months of the retirement of the petitioner.

Hence, it is ordered that the petitioner shall also be paid interest on the delayed payment of gratuity, starting three months from the date of retirement of the petitioner, at the rate of 9% per annum.

The present writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

7.9.2016
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No