

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

111

**CWP No. 23705 of 2016(O&M)
Date of decision:17.11.2016**

Amandeep Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice P.B. Bajanthri

Present: Mr. Rajeev Anand, Advocate for the petitioner.

P.B. Bajanthri, J. (Oral)

In the instant writ petition, the petitioner has questioned the result of review medical examination dated 15.09.2016 vide Annexure P-7.

The petitioner is a candidate for the recruitment to the post of Constable, Technician/Tradesmen CRPF 2015. Petitioner was subjected to medical examination by the selecting authority. They found that he is medically unfit for the following reasons:-

“Swelling present on left side of Scrotum”

Due to the above defect the petitioner got treatment and undergone operation on 13.07.2016 at Civil Hospital, Hoshiarpur. Thereafter, he was subjected to review medical. In the review medical, fitness of the petitioner has been taken note of and medical authorities have held that he is not found fit, which is under challenge in the present petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that after undergoing operation to rectify the medical defect he was subjected to review medical. There is no hindrance in medical fitness after undergoing operation. Therefore, it was contended that he is fit for the post. Hence, declaration that petitioner is still unfit in the review medical is arbitrary and illegal.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Perusal of the medical examination it is admitted that petitioner was held to be medically unfit due to swelling present on left side of scrotum. Scope of review medical examination is to verify whether in the earlier medical examination any error has been committed or not . The petitioner in between Ist medical and review medical got operated himself on 13.07.2016 to get rectified the defect in the left side of scrotum. The review medical authorities after examining the facts of the case as well as fitness of the petitioner held that he is not found fit. Therefore, there is no infirmity in Annexure P-7 so as to interfere with the decision of the medical board.

Petition stands dismissed.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the petition. He is permitted to withdraw the petition.

CWP stands dismissed as withdrawn.

17.11.2016

pooja saini

**(P.B.BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasons

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No