

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 23704 of 2016
Date of decision : 17.11.2016**

Rajesh Kumar and others

.....Petitioners

v.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present : Mr. R.K. Malik, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Kuldeep Sheoran, Advocate, for the petitioners

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral) :

The petitioners are stated to be working as Extension Lecturers in Government National College, Sirsa. The details of the period of their service as Extension Lecturers are set out in para No.2 and their qualifications are mentioned in para No.3 of the petition.

It is the case of the petitioners that they deserve to continue as Extension Lecturers till regular appointments are made by the respondents and they should not to be relieved during summer/winter/examination period. The petitioners have sought further direction that they be granted ₹ 1000/- per period subject to a maximum of ₹ 25,000/- per month from the date of their initial appointment.

Issue notice to the respondents.

At the asking of Court, Mr. Harish Rathi, Senior DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Learned counsel for the petitioner has furnished four sets of the paper book to the learned State counsel.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the cases of the

petitioners are squarely covered by the judgment of a coordinate Bench of this Court in the case of **Ms. Menka and others v. State of Haryana and others CWP No. 9300 of 2015** decided on **5.5.2016**.

Learned State counsel contends that a coordinate Bench of this Court has issued directions pertaining to similarly situated persons while deciding **CWP No. 16975 of 2014**, in the case of **Mrs. Rita Tandon v. State of Haryana and others** decided on **29.7.2016** while relying upon the judgment in the case of **Ms. Menka and others v. State of Haryana and others (supra)**.

At this juncture, learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners would be satisfied if the petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider the case of each of the petitioners in the light of the judgments in the cases of **Ms. Menka and others v. State of Haryana and others (supra)** and **Mrs. Rita Tandon v. State of Haryana and others (supra)** in a time bound manner.

Therefore, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to treat the writ petition as a representation of the petitioners and consider and decide the same by passing a speaking order, keeping in view the judgments in the cases of **Ms. Menka and others v. State of Haryana and others (supra)** and **Mrs. Rita Tandon v. State of Haryana and others (supra)**, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

17.11.2016

Ashwani

Speaking/Reasoned
Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No