

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

218

**Civil Writ Petition No.24648 of 2015(O & M)
Date of Decision:03.03.2016**

Urban Improvement Company (P) Ltd.petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and othersrespondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE S.J.VAZIFDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI**

Present: Mr.Vaneet Soni, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr.Deepak Balyan, Additional Advocate General,
Haryana

Mr.Deepak Sabharwal, Advocate
for respondent No.3-HUDA

S.J.VAZIFDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE (ORAL):

Written statement on behalf of respondent No.3 has been
filed in the Court and the same is taken on record.

The main concern in this petition pertains to the supply of
water to the Greenfields Colony which was set up by the
developer/coloniser. Pursuant to Section 408 of the Companies Act,
the Board of Directors has been re-constituted by the Central
Government.

Respondent No.3-HUDA has now filed an affidavit stating
that the petitioner had applied for installing 10 tubewells/borewells
and that permission has been granted for installing 7
tubewells/borewells. Mr.Sabharwal, learned counsel appearing on
behalf of respondent No.3-HUDA further states that HUDA has no
objection to the petitioner being granted permission for further

tubewells/ borewells.

The statements made in the reply filed by respondent No.3-HUDA, are accepted. This includes the statement that the work of rainy wells is in progress and the likely date of commissioning the same is 31.08.2016 and that the work for transmission of water supply is in progress and 70% of the work of water supply has been completed and the balance work is likely to be completed by July 2016.

Learned counsel for the petitioner suggests that the respondents ought to introduce the canal base water system. The affidavit in reply filed by respondent No.3-HUDA refers to the canal base water system but it does not indicate any decision taken in regard thereto.

Considering the extent of development not only in respect of the Greenfields Colony but also its surrounding areas and the acute shortage of water in those areas, respondent No.1 is directed to take decision about the feasibility of the canal base water system. The petitioner, which is today managed by the Board of Directors appointed by the Central Government should also make its suggestions and proposals in this regard to respondent No.1.

The petition is accordingly disposed of.

(S.J. VAZIFDAR)
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

March 03, 2016

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE