

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

117

CWP-23640-2016

DATE OF DECISION:15.11.2016

SANTOSH RANI AND OTHERS

... Petitioners

V.

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR.

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. N.P. Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioners.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have impugned the action of the respondents in calling even ineligible candidates for the interview for the post of Post Graduate Teacher (PGT) Punjabi. It is the case of the petitioners that in terms of the instructions issued by the respondents, candidates twice the number of posts, have to be called for the interview and the respondents are calling even ineligible candidates for the interview without verification of their valid documents. The documents should be first verified by the respondents and only those candidates who are found eligible, should be called for interview as otherwise, the petitioners would be excluded from the zone of consideration.

Issue notice to the respondents.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl. A.G. Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Learned Advocate General, Haryana appearing for the respondents states that a similar controversy has come up for adjudication before a Coordinate Bench of this Court in **CWP No.22746 of 2016**

titled as **Satish Kumar Malik and others v. State of Haryana and others** and other connected matters wherein vide order passed today itself, i.e. 15.11.2016, the case was disposed of after issuance of directions that scrutiny of the candidates shall be carried out first and ineligible candidates would not be called for interview. Learned Advocate General, Haryana further states that the directions issued in the case of **Satish Kumar Malik and others** (supra) would also apply to other subjects including PGT (Punjabi) for which the vacancies have been advertised. The directions issued in the case of **Satish Kumar Malik and others** (supra) would be equally applicable in the instant case.

Therefore, the petition stands disposed of in the same terms as the order in the case of **Satish Kumar Malik and others** (supra).

November 15, 2016

SwarnjitS

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

Note:

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No