

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.23469 of 2016
Date of decision: 11.11.2016**

Bimla Rani

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. S.K. Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Daya Chaudhary, J. (Oral)

The present writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing of impugned show cause notice dated 26.09.2016 (Annexure P-10), whereby, the respondents have proposed to terminate the services of the petitioner.

On perusal of impugned show cause notice dated 26.09.2016, it appears that reply to the show cause notice was to be filed but the same has not been filed. The impugned show cause notice has been challenged by raising various grounds.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a widow lady and was entitled for regularization in view of policy of State Government but her total length of service has not been taken into consideration and the impugned show cause notice has been issued after deciding to terminate her services.

Heard arguments of learned counsel for the petitioner and have also perused the impugned show cause notice.

Admittedly, the petitioner has not filed reply to the show cause notice dated 26.09.2016 and simply by stating that the petitioner is a widow lady and keeping in view her total length of service, she was entitled for regularization, is not a ground to challenge the show cause notice. The petitioner should have filed reply to the show cause notice by raising all these grounds, which have been mentioned in the present writ petition or the same should have been raised before this Court at the time of raising arguments by learned counsel for the petitioner.

Hence no ground is made out to quash the impugned show cause notice.

Dismissed.

11.11.2016
neetu

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	√ Yes/No
Whether Reportable	√ Yes/No