

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 23447 of 2016 (O/M)
Date of decision : 11.11.2016

Mahabir Parsad

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Gourav Jain, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

:-

:-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner retired from service on 29.2.2016. His juniors are being paid higher salary than him and consequently, the pension is being paid less to the petitioner. He has made a representation dated 18.9.2016 (Annexure-P-5) to the respondents, but received no response so far.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to the opposite party, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to decide the aforesaid representation of the petitioner within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order by passing a speaking order.

Disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

11.11.2016

sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned	:	No
Whether Reportable	:	No