

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.24386 of 2015

Date of decision: 06.09.2016

Silke Denise Dirnbacher and another

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Nikhil Anand, Advocate for
Mr. Rajeev Anand, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Monica Chhiber Sharma, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Shrey Goel, Advocate
for respondents No.5 & 7.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Bhupinder Singh, Police Station Tanda, District Hoshiarpur, submits that after conclusion of the investigation in FIR No.243 dated 25.09.2015 registered at Police Station Tanda, District Hoshiarpur, cancellation report has already been presented to the learned Court of competent jurisdiction. In this regard, learned counsel for the State also refers to para 2 of preliminary submissions of the reply filed on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4. She further submits that since the police has prepared the cancellation report, present writ petition has been rendered infructuous and the same may be disposed of, as such.

Faced with the above, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that let the present writ petition be disposed of, in view of the statement made by learned counsel for the State, but liberty may be granted to the petitioners to pursue their remedy, in accordance with law.

In view of the above statements made by learned counsel for the parties, instant writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to pursue their other remedies, in accordance with law.

Disposed of, accordingly.

06.09.2016
vishnu

[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No