

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.23409 of 2016.

Date of Decision: November 11, 2016

Kuldeep Kaur and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA.

Present: Mr.Inderjeet Singh Brar, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr.Rajesh Bhardwaj, Additional AG, Punjab.

-. -

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion to respondents No.1,2&4 only.

On our asking, Mr. Rajesh Bhardwaj, Addl. AG Punjab accepts
notice on their behalf.

Let three copies of the writ petition be handed-over to him
during the course of day.

In view of the nature of order which we propose to pass, there
is no necessity to seek any counter reply from the respondents at this stage.

The grievance of the petitioners is that their land was acquired
for National Highway Authority of India for which NHAI has deposited the
compensation amount of Rs.2,20,00,000/- with the Land Acquisition
Collector-cum-SDM, Kotkapura– respondent No.2 but the same is not being
released by respondent No.2 even though the award was passed way back
on 28.10.2014.

Having regard to the categoric averments made by the petitioners, we dispose of the writ petition without expressing any views on merit with a direction to respondent No.2 to verify the records and if it is found that the petitioners have not been paid the due amount of compensation, let the same be released forthwith but not later than *one month* from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order along with statutory interest upto the date of payment.

Ordered accordingly.

Dasti.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

November 11, 2016
mohinder

[SUDIP AHLUWALIA]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No