

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.24305 of 2015

Date of decision: May 05, 2016.

Kali Ram and another

... **Petitioners**

v.

State of Haryana and others

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT**
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Shri Vipul Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Ms. Kirti Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Shri Sukhdeep Parmar, Advocate for respondent No.17.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Surya Kant, J. (Oral):

Instant writ petition has been filed seeking a direction to the authorities not to forcibly evict the petitioners from the land purportedly owned by the Gram Panchayat and the cultivating possession thereof was with the petitioners.

Learned Counsel for the State as well as counsel for one of the private respondents jointly state, and have also pointed out the averments made in their respective written statements, that the possession of the land has already been taken by the Gram Panchayat much before the order directing status quo regarding possession was passed by this Court on 19.11.2015.

In this view of the matter, we dispose of the writ petition as

infructuous but the petitioners shall be at liberty to pursue their remedy, for which they are stated to have already moved a petition under Section 13-A of the Punjab Village Common Lands (Regulation) Act, as applicable to the State of Haryana, and their eviction shall have no bearing on the merits of the pending case.

[Surya Kant]
Judge

May 05, 2016.
kadyan

[A.B. Chaudhari]
Judge