

CWP No.23324 of 2016
Date of Decision: 10.11.2016

State of Punjab and othersRespondents

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that presently the petitioner is working as Tehsildar on current duty charge in the Department of Revenue and posted at Sri Muktsar Sahib in Faridkot Division. He further submits that similarly situated persons have rightly been given the seniority but the petitioner has not been given the proper place, whereas, junior to him, namely, Ravinder Kumar and Tarsem Singh Mittal have been given seniority. Petitioner has made various representations as well as

served legal notice dated 25.02.2016 (Annexure P-25) but no action has been taken thereupon so far. Learned counsel also submits that the petitioner would be satisfied, in case, the directions are issued to the respondents to consider the legal notice dated 25.02.2016 (Annexure P-25) within some stipulated period.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. R.S. Sidhu, A.A.G., Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

In view of the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner and by considering that representations as well as legal notice dated 25.02.2016 (Annexure P-25) are still pending and no action has been taken thereupon so far, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to take action on the legal notice dated 25.02.2016 (Annexure P-25) and decide the same in accordance with law as similarly situated persons have already been given due seniority. The necessary exercise be done within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, the petitioner is found to be entitled, the same relief be granted to him within a period of one month thereafter.

However, in case, the petitioner is still aggrieved in any manner, he is at liberty to avail an appropriate remedy.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

10.11.2016
gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

Yes