

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 23231 of 2016
Date of Decision:- 05.12.2016**

JYOTI BALA

....Petitioner

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Rajesh Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

The grievance of the petitioner in the present petition is that 5 extra marks have been granted to the candidates, who applied for the post of Supervisor but these marks have not been granted to the candidates who have applied for the post of Anganwari Worker. Learned counsel also submits that the petitioner has made a representation dated 17.10.2016 to the respondents but no action has been taken thereupon so far. Learned counsel also submits that the petitioner would be satisfied in case the directions are issued by this Court to decide the representation dated 17.10.2016 within some stipulated period.

Keeping in view the limited prayer of the counsel for the petitioner and without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider representation dated 17.10.2016 (Annexure P-10) and take necessary action in accordance with law by passing a speaking order within

a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

December 05, 2016
poonam

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether reportable

No