

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.24153 of 2015(O&M)

Date of decision:24.5.2016

Sulakhan Singh & ors.

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & ors.

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. BS Sewak, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vaibhav Sharma, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. IS Sidhu, Advocate,
for respondents No.3 & 4.

Mr. HS Sethi, Advocate,
for the applicants/respondents No.5 to 7.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for respondents No.3 & 4 states that impugned order has been withdrawn. This renders the writ petition infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous. Consequently, C.M. No.15395 of 2015 filed by the proposed parties respondents No.5 to 7 under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC is rendered infructuous. The order is against the interest of the applicants. Needless to say that they will be at liberty to challenge the order in the Court of law, if advised.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

24.5.2016
monika