

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.M. No.2449 of 2016 in/and
CWP No. 24856 of 2014**

Date of decision: 27.2.2016

Nirmal Singh & ors.

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & anr.

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Gagan Pradeep Singh Bal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

On 4.12.2014, I had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel prays for time to place on record an additional affidavit/proof/evidence whether after carving out of District Mohali in 2006, police range presently is combined, i.e., District Mohali and District Ropar and whether ASIs in two revenue districts belong to the same police range/Commissionerate in order to know whether persons junior to the petitioners have been sent to the Upper School Course for purposes of list E-1 for promotion to the post of Sub Inspectors.

Adjourned sine die for the purpose.”

The present application(C.M. No.2449 of 2016) has come up for hearing today which is filed on 16.2.2016. The affidavit filed by the petitioner does not clear the haze and in the circumstances, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this petition is disposed of to enable the respondents to take a decision on the prayer made in this

petition in the first instance. The exercise be completed within a reasonable time and the result be communicated to the petitioners.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

**27.2.2016
monika**