

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP NO. 23930 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 27.1.2016

Virpal Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Kapil Kakkar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harkesh Manuja, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

Mr.Kakkar, learned for the petitioner draws the attention of this Court to the order issuing interim Mandamus to the State on 6th November, 2015 to correct the mistake pointed out on the record of the recruitment process and after curing the mistake, to examine the case of the petitioner, as per merit and in case, all other parameters are met, to consider offering an appointment to the petitioner to the post of TGT Punjabi in the Education Department, Punjab. The State was asked to obtain instructions and to place the same on the record of the case either by way of affidavit or by way of written statement in case the State chooses to contest the case on merits.

The State has filed a counter affidavit dated 18.1.2016 in which they have not seriously disputed the claim as far as the record is concerned and on the other hand, Mr.Manuja, learned counsel points out to the letter (Annexure R-1) vide which the petitioner was invited for scrutiny of documents and to appear in the interview on 20th January, 2016 for the post of TGT Punjabi.

Mr.Kakkar, learned counsel for the petitioner informs this Court that the

petitioner had appeared in the interview and now only the balance recruitment process remains to be completed on declaration of results.

In these circumstances, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to take the selection process qua the petitioner to logical end and pass appropriate orders within four weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. In case the petitioner has any remaining grievance, she would be at liberty to make an application in this case for any further directions, as may be required.

27.1.2016
MFK

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE