

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 24605 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision: 20.1.2016

Khalsa College

.. Petitioner

v.

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL

Present: Mr. P. S.Thiara, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Nilesh Bhardwaj, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

...

Rajesh Bindal J.

Reply by way of affidavit of Perminder Singh, Deputy Director, Welfare of Scheduled and Backward Classes, Punjab dated 20.1.2016 filed in court is taken on record.

The grievance of the petitioner is that grant of ₹ 6,80,133/- for the period 2011-12 for non-charging tuition fee and non-refundable compulsory fees from Scheduled Castes and Backward Classes students under Post Matric Scholarship Scheme, framed by Government of India, has not been released to the petitioner by the State Government.

Learned counsel for the State submitted that initially the Colleges were directed not to charge fee from Scheduled Castes/Backward Classes students, however, later on, the fee was directly credited in the accounts of the students with the condition that they will deposit the same with the college. It was found that in case of 209 students, though amount was credited in the accounts of the students, but they never deposited the fee with the college. After the notices were issued to the students, 69 students

have deposited the fee back with the State. The amount was paid to the college. Nine students paid the fee directly to the college. 124 students are yet to deposit the fee either with the State or with the college. It is further submitted that addresses of all the students have been taken from the college and notices have been issued to them for deposit of the fee, which was paid by the State to them with the college within a period of 10 days.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, in my opinion, the present petition can be disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to sort out the entire issue within a period of three months from the date of receipt of copy of the order. He has to ensure either receipt of the amount of fee wrongly paid to the students and then reimbursement thereof to the college or direct payment to the college. In case any of the students directly deposits fee with the college, the college shall be bound to furnish the information thereof to respondent No. 2 immediately thereafter. Ordered accordingly.

The writ petition stands disposed of.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

20.1.2016
mk