

206.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

FAO No.157 of 2011 (O&M)

Date of decision:12.04.2016

Reliance General Insurance Company Limited ... Appellant

versus

Chinta Mani Sharma and others Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Ms. Vandana Malhotra, Advocate,
for the appellant.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

Although the appeal papers have not been served, I have examined the case with reference to the point raised in the appeal by the insurer that the choice of multiplier must depend on the age of the claimants if they are elders to the deceased. It was a case of death of a bachelor where the claimants are the parents. The law has been settled through the judgment in **Munna Lal Jain and another Versus Vipin Kumar Sharma and others-(2015) 6 SCC 347** that directs that the age of the deceased alone shall be relevant and that is how the compensation has been assessed at ₹4,81,000/- for the claimants. There is no error for interference. The appeal is dismissed.

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**

12.04.2016
sanjeev