

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 22882 of 2016 (O/M)

Date of decision : 7.11.2016

Sat Paul Suri and others

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. V.K. Sandhir, Advocate, for the petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The petitioners joined respondent-department prior to year 1990. They claim the revised gratuity under the Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972 alongwith interest. It is stated that they have served a legal notice dated 7.7.2016 (Annexure-P-7) upon the respondents. The short prayer is that the respondents should be directed to decide the said legal notice.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to the opposite party, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 1 to decide the legal notice dated 7.7.2016 (Annexure-P-7) by passing a speaking order within one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order after considering the case law on the point. Needless to say that if an adverse order is passed, the petitioners can always approach the Controlling Authority under the Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972.

Disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

7.11.2016

sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No