

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.22822 of 2016 (O&M)

Meenu Bala & ors.

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab & ors.

... Respondents

CWP No.23113 of 2016 (O&M)

Manpreet Kaur & anr.

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab & ors.

... Respondents

CWP No.23538 of 2016 (O&M)

Rishi Mahajan

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab & ors.

... Respondents

CWP No.23832 of 2016 (O&M)

Arvinder Kaur

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab & ors.

... Respondents

Date of decision: 19.12.2016

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Sunny Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner in CWP No.22822 of 2016.

Mr. Randeep Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioner in CWP No.23538 of 2016.

Mr. Arvinder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner in CWP Nos.23113 and 23832 of 2016.

Mr. A.P.S.Mann, Addl. AG, Punjab.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)

This order will dispose of four writ petitions i.e. CWP Nos.22822, 23113, 23832 and 23538 of 2016 as same issue is involved in all the cases which can conveniently be decided by a common order.

The petitioners have approached this Court for directions to the respondents to appoint them as Science Masters/Mistresses in terms of advertisement dated 20.11.2015 (Annex P-1) in the Punjab Education Department (School Cadre).

Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) state on instructions from their respective clients that all the petitioners have been offered appointment and have joined their services, which renders the petition infructuous.

Accordingly, the present petitions are disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. Needless to say, that all the consequential benefits arising from acceptance of offers of appointment would follow as per rules and in accordance to the law.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

19.12.2016

sonia

*1. Whether speaking/reasoned?
2. Whether reportable?*

*Yes
No*