

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.22735 of 2016

Date of Decision: 04.11.2016

Rinku Jangra

. . . Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Surinder Kumar Daaria, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Rameshwar Singh Malik, J. (Oral)

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of respondent authorities, petitioner has approached this Court by way of instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that when the genuine grievance of the petitioner was not being redressed, he finally approached the respondent authorities, by way of representation dated 23.08.2016 (Annexure P-1), but the same is also pending decision. He further submits that the petitioner will be satisfied in case respondent No.3 is directed to consider and decide his representation, within a reasonable time.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, lest it should prejudice the rights of either of the parties, the present writ petition is disposed of, with a direction to the Superintending Engineer, Public Health, Rohtak-respondent No.3, to look into the matter, consider the grievance of the petitioner raised vide his representation dated 23.08.2016 (Annexure P-1) and decide the same, by passing an appropriate order thereon, strictly in accordance with law, keeping in view the later communication dated 29.08.2016 (Annexure P-2) as well as official communication dated 10.10.2016 (Annexure P-3), at an early date, but in any case within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Keeping in view the peculiar facts and circumstances noticed herein above, Superintending Engineer, Public Health, Rohtak-respondent No.3 is directed to expedite tendering process, if any required in the present case and ensure that pipeline, pointed out in the official communication (Annexure P-3), is laid down at the earliest possible time.

With the above-said observations made and directions issued, the instant writ petition stands disposed of.

[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE

04.11.2016
sachin

Whether Speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether Reportable : No