

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CWP No.22716 of 2016

Date of Decision:-09.11.2016

Harpreet Singh.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab & Ors.

.....Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present:- Mr. Ranjan Lakhanpal, Advocate for Petitioner.

Mz. Sudeepti Sharma, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab
assisted by Mr. Jaskeerat Singh, PPS, DSP Traffic, Patiala
Co-opted Member of the Board.

JASWANT SINGH, J.(ORAL)

The petitioner is an aspirant for recruitment to the post of ASI (wrongly averred as the Post is actually Sub Inspector) advertised in September 2016. The trial regarding physical measurements and physical test were to be conducted on 09.10.2016 to 21.10.2016 at various centres.

It is averred that the petitioner was required to appear on 10.10.2016 at Patiala Centre, which he could not appear due to his illness. Accordingly his father made an application for conducting a retrial on some other day.

The grievance made is that the father of the petitioner was told that the next date would be intimated through SMS, however, the retrial was held on 20.10.2016 of the other similarly situated candidates without

informing the petitioner.

At the time of hearing on the previous date, the counsel for the petitioner requested for a retrial of the petitioner only in case the recruiting agency is still holding the retrials for any other candidate on any other date.

Upon notice learned State Counsel assisted by Mr. Jaskeerat Singh, PPS, DSP Traffic, Patiala Co-opted Member of the Board submits firstly the petitioner is an aspirant for the post of Sub Inspector and not ASI, petitioner was informed and required to appear for the trial on 12.10.2016 and on the application of the father of the petitioner for exemption for such appearance on 12.10.2016 he was informed that the retrial would be conducted on 20.10.2016 and the petitioner was to appear on the said date. The original record has been shown where the father of the petitioner has affixed his signatures on the noting regarding requirement of the petitioner to appear on 20.10.2016. She further submits that the test of physical measurement/physical test in the selection process have since been completed and the candidates have moved on to the next stage of selection process.

In view of the above, the entire claim of the petitioner thus appears to be misplaced and as such finding no merit in the present petition the same is hereby dismissed.

(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE

November 09, 2016
Vinay

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>