

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 22613 of 2016
Date of Decision : 06.12.2016**

Gurcharan Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. S.S. Sahu, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J.(Oral)

The petitioners are seeking direction to the respondents to permit them to attend the document verification for recruitment of Constable (Male) General Duty in Police Department.

It is the case of the petitioners that they have secured more marks than the last candidate in their categories, who has called for document verification and, hence, they deserve to be called for document verification in consideration of their candidature.

It is stated in the reply filed by the respondents that petitioner No. 1, who belongs to BCA category, has secured 26.9 marks while the last candidate in that category, who has been called for document verification, has secured 36.40 marks. The petitioner No. 2, who belongs to BCB category, has secured 24.7 marks while the last candidate, who has been called for document verification in his category, has secured 41.20 marks.

The petitioner No. 3, who belongs to General Category, has secured 22.7 marks while the last candidate called for document verification in his category, has secured 41.15 marks.

Therefore, as the petitioners have secured less marks than the last candidate in their respective categories, the action of the respondents in not calling them for document verification, does not suffer any infirmity.

In the result, the petition being devoid of any merit, stands dismissed.

December 06, 2016.
kanchan

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes
Whether reportable?	No