

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 22594 of 2016
Date of Decision : 27.10.2016**

Raj Bala

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Ashwani Antil, Advocate for the petitioner.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J.(Oral)

The petitioner has challenged order dated 02.08.2016 (Annexure P-7), vide which the order dated 05.11.2015 (Annexure P-6) transferring the petitioner from Karnal to Sonapat has been cancelled.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that the petitioner is a patient of Epilepsy and undergoing treatment at PGIMS Rohtak since 2007 and therefore deserves to be posted at Sonapat, which is closer to Rohtak. Learned counsel for the petitioner has invited my attention to the representation dated 29.08.2016 (Annexure P-8) sent to the respondents, which have evoked no response.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner shall be satisfied if the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to consider the representation dated 29.08.2016 (Annexure P-8).

Without making any observations on the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the petition with a direction to respondents to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner in accordance with law within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

October 27, 2016.

kanchan

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes

Whether reportable? Yes/No