

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CM-793-2016 in/and
CWP-23516-2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: November 08, 2016

Davinderpal Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

- | | | |
|----|---|---------|
| 1. | To be referred to the Reporters or not? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? | Yes/No. |
| 3. | Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? | Yes/No |

.....

Present: Mr.Manpreet Singh,Advocate for
Mr.K.S.Khehar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.Rajesh Bhardwaj, Addl.AG, Punjab.

Mr.Sumeet Abrol, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

.....

SURYA KANT, J.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 has placed on record the Notification dated 09.09.2016 vide which Government of Punjab has amended the Punjab State Agricultural Marketing Board (Group-B) Service Rules, 1988. As a result of that amendment, some of the petitioners have been further promoted vide order dated 19.09.2016.

In view of amendment of the Rules, the cause of action in the instant writ petition won't survive. The same is accordingly disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

However, if any of the petitioners has still any grievance, he may

firstly approach the Competent Authority and thereafter seek redressal of his grievances in accordance with law.

Since the main case has been disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, application to implead the applicants as respondents is also dismissed as infructuous.

**(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE**

November 08, 2016
meenuss

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|----|-----------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/reasoned ? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable ? | Yes/No |