

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.22513 of 2016
Date of decision: 27.10.2016**

Neelam Kumari

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Yagyadeep, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Daya Chaudhary, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that similarly situated persons have been granted benefit of higher revised pay scale vide order passed in CWP No. 22422 of 2010 dated 16.05.2012. The case of the petitioner is at par with those employees, who filed the said petition. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has made representation dated 22.07.2015, which is annexed as Annexure P-5 with the writ petition but no order has been passed thereupon so far. Petitioner would be satisfied in case directions are issued by this Court to decide the representation filed by her within some stipulated period.

Keeping in view the limited prayer of the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No. 2 to 4 to consider the representation of the petitioner and take necessary action in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. In case, the petitioner is found to be entitled for the relief

sought in the representation and the case of the petitioner is at par with petitioners in CWP No. 22422 of 2010, she be granted the same benefit within a period of two months thereafter. In case, the petitioner is still aggrieved by any action of the respondents, she is at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

27.10.2016
neetu

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

√
Yes/No

Whether Reportable

√
Yes/No