

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**Date of decision: 17.11.2016**

**CWP No.22480 of 2016**

Dr. Vinod Kumar

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA**

Present: None for the petitioner.

**RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)**

I have no doubt that with the complexity of task recorded in the previous interim order dated 27.10.2016, the petitioner has no answer to the question posed. Since even on the next date i.e. 10.11.2013, a request for adjournment was made and today no one appears on behalf of the petitioner, which convinces the Court that there is no merit in this case. Since the case is being dismissed, it would be appropriate to reproduce the first interim order dated 27.10.2016 passed without issuing notice of motion to the opposite side. The order reads as follows:

“Prays for time to study the matching and mismatching of MCI regulations at page 94 and the teaching experience advertised for PGI Rohtak for the post of Assistant Professor – Medical, a teaching post where in addition to MCI regulations one year experience has been demanded after postgraduate qualification.

It is pointed by the learned counsel that MCI amended the rule of experience in 2012 and removed the condition of one year experience after postgraduate qualification as a condition of eligibility, as urged by the counsel before me.

List on 10.11.2016.”

Consequently, I find the petition wholly devoid of merit and would order its dismissal.

**17.11.2016**  
Vimal

**[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]**  
**JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned:* *Yes/No*

*Whether Reportable:* *Yes/No*