

CWP No.23428 of 2015

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.23428 of 2015
Date of decision: 06.05.2016

M/s Sarswati Rice Mills, Bahadurpur

....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH DHALIWAL

- 1) Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
- 2) To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
- 3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: - Mr. Madan Sandhu, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. B.S. Cheema, DAG, Punjab.
Mr. K.K. Gupta, Advocate, for respondents No.3 and 4.
Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate, for respondent No.6.
Mr. Sumit Jain, Advocate, for respondent No.8.

PARAMJEET SINGH DHALIWAL, J. (ORAL)

Reply on behalf of respondents No.2 and 5, filed in Court today is taken on record.

Instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed for issuance of a writ in the nature of *certiorari* quashing the order dated 03.10.2013 (Annexure P-1) passed by District Manager, Punjab Agro Food Grains Corporation Ltd., Mansa.

Learned counsel for the respondents have pointed out that the impugned order has been passed by Punjab Agro Foodgrains Ltd. The authority which has passed the impugned order has not been impleaded rather other persons, who have no concern with this case, have been

CWP No.23428 of 2015

impleaded as party respondents and thus they have unnecessarily been directed to appear in this Court. No relief can be granted against them. Due to fault of the petitioner, respondents No.3 and 4 – Food Corporation of India, Respondent No.6 – PUNSUP and respondent No.8 – PSWC have engaged counsel for defending the case though they are not necessary parties.

In view of above, this Court has no option but to dismiss the petition with heavy costs.

Accordingly, the petition is dismissed with a cost of Rs.1,00,000/- (Rupees one lac only) to be deposited with the Punjab State Legal Services Authority. In case the amount is not deposited, same shall be recovered as arrears of land revenue from the petitioner.

(Paramjeet Singh Dhaliwal)
Judge

May 06, 2016
R.S.