

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.22367 of 2016
Date of decision:26.10.2016
...Petitioner

Raj Rani

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr.R.K.Arya, Advocate for the petitioner.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of respondent authorities, petitioner has approached this Court by way of instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that when the genuine grievance of the petitioner was not being redressed, she finally approached the respondent authorities, by way of representation dated 27.9.2016 (Annexure P-10), but the same is also pending decision. He further submits that the petitioner will be satisfied in case respondent No.3 is directed to consider and decide her representation, within a reasonable time.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, lest it should prejudice the rights of either of the parties, the present writ petition is disposed of, with a direction to the Deputy Commissioner-cum-Collector, District Pathankot-respondent No.3, to look into the matter, consider the grievance of the petitioner raised vide her representation dated 27.9.2016 (Annexure P-10) and decide the same at an early date, by passing an appropriate order thereon, strictly in accordance with law, but in any case within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

With the above-said observations made and directions issued, the instant writ petition stands disposed of.

26.10.2016
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No