

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.22306 of 2016

Date of decision: 25.10.2016

Gurpartap Singh and others

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. J.S. Bhatti, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)

Petitioners have approached this Court against the order dated 14.10.2016 (Annexure P-2) (incorrectly typed in the prayer clause as Annexure P-1), whereby Administrator was appointed for the Gram Panchayat of the petitioner.

Petitioners have got equally efficacious alternative remedy of the revision against the impugned order under Section 201 of the Punjab Panchayati Raj Act, 1994 ('the Act of 1994' for short). It is also a matter of record that petitioners have not availed their remedy of revision under Section 201 of the Act of 1994, before filing the present writ petition.

The scope and ambit of Section 201 of the Act of 1994 fell for consideration of this Court in the case of **Binder Kaur Vs. State of Punjab and others, 2016 (1) RCR (Civil) 634** and it was held by this Court that order appointing Administrator of the Gram Panchayat would be a revisable before the Govt. under Section 201 of the Act of 1994.

Faced with the above, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission of the Court to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to the petitioners to avail their equally efficacious alternative remedy of revision under Section 201 of the Act of 1994 before the competent authority, at the first instance.

Permission is granted.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty, as prayed for.

[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE

25.10.2016
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No