

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.23881 of 2014

Date of decision: 11.03.2016

Salinder Kumar and others

...Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Naveen Daryal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

RITU BAHRI, J.

Petitioners are seeking direction to the respondents not to replace them with another contractual employees.

Petitioners were appointed on the post of Sweeper and Mali in the year 2014 pursuant to an advertisement/walk in interview. As per agreement dated 15.01.2014 (Annexure P-1), they were engaged for a period of 6 months or till regular appointment was made.

Respondents, in the written statement, have admitted that after conducting the interview, petitioners were engaged w.e.f. 01.07.2014 till 31.12.2014. It was further stated that a letter was written for sanction/extension of two posts, one for Mali and another for Sweeper, w.e.f. 01.01.2015 to 30.06.2015 vide Annexure R/1.

Learned counsel for the respondents has informed that no sanction has been received pursuant to letter (Annexure R/1) till date. Neither any regular appointment has been made nor any contractual employee has

been appointed on the said posts after 31.12.2014. One post of Mali and another for Sweeper are still lying vacant with the department.

This petition, at this stage, is disposed of by giving a direction to the respondents to give preference to the petitioners in case anybody is to be appointed, as per outsourcing policy, on the above said two posts which are lying vacant with the department.

11.03.2016
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE