

238 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 23129 of 2015
Date of decision:28.03.2016**

Jagdeep Singh
.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others
.....Respondents

CWP No. 23390 of 2015

Akal Construction Co.
.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others
.....Respondents

CWP No. 23683 of 2015

M/s Khara Contractors
.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others
.....Respondents

CWP NO. 23684 of 2015

M/s Khara Contractors
.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others
.....Respondents

CWP No. 23685 of 2015

M/s Khara Contractors
.....Petitioner

CWP No. 23129 of 2015

2

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CWP No. 23866 of 2015

M/s Khara Contractors

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CWP No. 24095 of 2015

The Dagru Co-op L&C Society Ltd. and others

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CWP No.24920 of 2015

M/s Regal Enterprises

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CWP NO. 24989 of 2015

Parveen Kumar

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CWP No. 25175 of 2015

M/s Regal Enterprises

.....Petitioner

CWP No. 23129 of 2015

3

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CWP No. 1255 of 2016

M/s. Sha Construction Company

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CWP No. 1879 of 2016

Mela Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rakesh Kumar Jain

Present: Mr. R.K.Girdhar, Advocate
for the petitioners and
Mr. Vikas Cuccria, Advocate
for the petitioner(in CWP No. 1879 of 2016).

Mr. Alok Jain, Addl.AG, Punjab.

Rakesh Kumar Jain, J.(Oral)

This order shall dispose of 12 writ petitions bearing
CWP Nos. 23129, 23390, 23683, 23684, 23685, 23866, 24095, 24920,
24989, 25175 of 2015 and 1255, 1879 of 2016.

Counsel for the petitioner(s) have submitted that since
the payments in all the aforesaid cases have been made except
CWP No. 23390 of 2015. Therefore, they do not want to pursue
these petitions and pray for withdrawal of the same.

Dismissed as withdrawn

In so far as, CWP No. 23390 of 2015 is concerned, it is submitted that since the assurance has been given by the respondents to make the payment, therefore, this petition is also sought to be withdrawn.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

28th March, 2016

Shivani Kaushik

**[Rakesh Kumar Jain]
Judge**