

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 22126 of 2016
Date of decision: 24.10.2016

Seema Gupta

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

Punjabi University and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr. Sunny Singla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

G.S.SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)

Admittedly, as per Annexure P-3, a noting portion, a decision has already been taken which was adverse to the petitioner and the same was to be intimated. Counsel does not deny the fact that it has been intimated to the petitioner. No challenge has been raised to the said decision.

In such circumstances, counsel does not wish to press the present writ petition with liberty to file a fresh one on the same cause of action.

Ordered accordingly.

24.10.2016
shivani

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No